

of fiber; and the latter contained not more than 8.78 percent of protein, not more than 1.29 percent of fat, and not less than 43.54 percent of fiber.

**DISPOSITION:** May 1, 1944. Pleas of guilty having been entered, each defendant was fined \$25 on count 1. Sentence was suspended on count 2, and the defendants were placed on 1 year's probation.

**6683. Misbranding of cow and hog feed. U. S. v. Mountain City Mill Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$500. (F. D. C. No. 11348. Sample Nos. 34403-F to 34405-F, incl.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** On February 19, 1944, in the Eastern District of Tennessee, against the Mountain City Mill Co., Inc., Chattanooga, Tenn.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about May 11 and July 6, 1943, from the State of Tennessee into the State of North Carolina.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Tags) "Blue Cow 16% Feed," or "Prize Winner Hog Feed."

**VIOLATIONS CHARGED:** Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements in the labeling of the products, (cow feed) "Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than 16.00% Crude Fat, not less than 3.00% [or "3.50%"]," and (hog feed) "Guaranteed Analysis Crude Protein, not less than 14% Crude Fat, not less than 3½%," were false and misleading since the cow feed contained in one shipment not more than 13.10 percent of crude protein and 1.99 percent of crude fat, and in the other shipment not more than 13.04 percent of crude protein and 2.11 percent of crude fat; and the hog feed contained not more than 11.85 percent of crude protein and 2.71 percent of crude fat; in addition, the statements (portion of cow feed) "Made From \* \* \* Ground Barley \* \* \* Soy Bean Oil Meal," (remainder of cow feed) "Made From \* \* \* Soy Bean Oil Meal," and (hog feed) "Ingredients \* \* \* Soy Bean Oil Meal," were false and misleading since the articles did not contain soy bean oil meal, and one lot of the cow feed did not contain ground barley; and, Section 403 (i) (2), the articles were fabricated from two or more ingredients and their labels failed to bear the common or usual name of each such ingredient since they contained ground wheat and rye, and their labels did not declare ground wheat and rye in the list of ingredients.

**DISPOSITION:** April 17, 1944. A plea of guilty having been entered, a fine of \$500 was imposed.

**6684. Misbranding of dairy feed. U. S. v. Moore Milling Co., Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$200. (F. D. C. No. 10538. Sample No. 37078-F.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** On January 3, 1944, in the Western District of Virginia, against the Moore Milling Co., Inc., Salem, Va.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** On or about March 18, 1943, from the State of Virginia into the State of West Virginia.

**LABEL, IN PART:** (Bag) "Momico \* \* \* Milk Maker," or (tag) "Milk Maker Dairy Feed."

**VIOLATION CHARGED:** Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements, "24% \* \* \* Guaranteed Analysis Protein Min. 24.00% \* \* \*," and "Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein, not less than 24.00%," in the labeling, were false and misleading as applied to the article, which contained 21.96 percent of crude protein.

**DISPOSITION:** January 12, 1944. The defendant having entered a plea of guilty, a fine of \$200 was imposed.

**6685. Misbranding of dairy and farm feed. U. S. v. Roanoke City Mills, Inc. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. D. C. No. 10555. Sample Nos. 27662-F, 27665-F.)**

**INFORMATION FILED:** On January 3, 1944, in the Western District of Virginia, against the Roanoke City Mills, Inc., Roanoke, Va.

**ALLEGED SHIPMENT:** Or or about February 2 and March 23, 1943, from the State of Virginia into the State of West Virginia.

**LABEL, IN PART:** "Rings Producto 24% Dairy Feed \* \* \* Crude Protein 24.00%," and "Old Dominion Farm Feed \* \* \* Protein 13.00%."

**VIOLATION CHARGED:** Misbranding, Section 403 (a), the statements "24% Dairy Feed Crude Protein 24.00%," on the labeling of the dairy feed, and "Protein 13.00%," on the labeling of the farm feed, were false and misleading since the